



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/584,627

06/26/2006

Akihito Sano

02886.0097

9662

22852

7590

04/28/2009

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

SHABMAN, MARK A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2856

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/28/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/584,627	Applicant(s) SANO ET AL.	
	Examiner MARK SHABMAN	Art Unit 2856	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cimmino PCT/AU00/00383 (hereinafter referred to as Cimmino).

Regarding **claim 1**, Cimmino discloses on pages 3 and 4 and in accompanying figures 2a and 2b, an electronic transducer for measuring flexion. The transducer comprises a "sensing member" (support surface 13) which is described as flexible and non-extensible and is able to contact a surface which could be flat, or adapt to the curves of an unsmooth surface as well. An "exhibiting member" (enclosed by dielectric material 11) is attached to the sensing member or "formed on the surface" of it as claimed. The dielectric material is described as having a substantial elasticity. The Cimmino reference does not explicitly disclose the apparatus as being able to deform concavely and convexly as claimed, however as the dielectric material 11 and adhesive 12 are both described as flexible, by bending the sensor in the opposite direction as seen in figure 2(b), the two flexible elements would deform to some extent, thus keeping the tops of the conductors A and B touching, while the bottoms pull apart slightly. Cimmino does not describe the elasticity as being smaller than that of the "flexible

Art Unit: 2856

sheet” as claimed, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to ensure that the exhibiting member had a smaller deformation resistance to ensure that the sensing of the changes in contour is done entirely by the sensing member and its deformation due to a sensed curve, and the exhibiting member does not restrict this in any way due to a greater resistance to deformation.

Regarding **claim 2**, figures 2c and 2d of Cimmino show the loops of the exhibiting layer broken down into individual components. As shown and described on page 4, the loops of the exhibiting layer are spaced from each other in a parallel fashion and on the surface of the flexible sheet.

Regarding **claim 3**, Cimmino teaches the use of parallel loops for detection means as seen in figures 2b and 2c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use parallel plates in the exhibiting layer to add to the sensitivity of the system by providing more surface area which can be affected by the sensed imperfections.

Regarding **claim 7**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the sensing member out of a resin such as a plastic due to its flexibility during sensing and wear resistance over time.

Regarding **claim 8**, the apparatus of Cimmino uses a measured capacitance to determine the amount of strain on the sensing member via measurement of a capacitance of the transducer modules.

Regarding **claim 10**, Cimmino discloses on pages 3 and 4 and in accompanying figures 2a and 2b, an electronic transducer for measuring flexion. The transducer comprises a "sensing member" (support surface 13) which is described as flexible and non-extensible and is able to contact a surface which could be flat, or adapt to the curves of an unsmooth surface as well. An "exhibiting member" (enclosed by dielectric material 11) is attached to the sensing member or "formed on the surface" of it as claimed. The dielectric material is described as having a substantial elasticity. The Cimmino reference does not explicitly disclose the apparatus as being able to deform concavely and convexly as claimed, however as the dielectric material 11 and adhesive 12 are both described as flexible, by bending the sensor in the opposite direction as seen in figure 2(b), the two flexible elements would deform to some extent, thus keeping the tops of the conductors A and B touching, while the bottoms pull apart slightly. Cimmino does not describe the elasticity as being smaller than that of the "flexible sheet" as claimed, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to ensure that the exhibiting member had a smaller deformation resistance to ensure that the sensing of the changes in contour is done entirely by the sensing member and its deformation due to a sensed curve, and the exhibiting member does not restrict this in any way due to a greater resistance to deformation.

In use, the transducer is placed in contact with a surface and a deformation is generated by and sensed along the sensing member 13. The sensing is conducted by determining a change in the exhibiting member of the apparatus due to the deformation of the material as claimed.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding **claim 12**, the apparatus of Cimmino is used for detection of a curvature of a surface. The apparatus as described is capable of detecting on a surface portion having flexibility with a convexo concave portion covered by the surface portion. The apparatus of Cimmino detects by being pressed against a surface and determining how "deformed" (curved) it is due to the shape of the object below, and thereby detecting the convexo concave of the object.

Regarding **claims 34 and 35**, the apparatus of Cimmino as viewed in figures 2(a) and 2(b) who the sensing member with a "thickness" and the exhibiting member including "a plurality of protrusions" formed by conductors A and B. Depending on the surface to which the sensing apparatus is applied to, it would include a surface capable of inclination similar to that seen in figure 2(b) as the curvature on the left is "inclined" relative to a point on the right. As the angles θ are a product of the apparatus's response to the surface, the protrusions could incline in a "tangential direction that is proportional to a product of an inclined angle θ of the inclined surface and the thickness T of the convexo concave amplifying device", however this would depend on the surface under test and its imperfections to generate the angle θ .

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cimmino as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Cundari US Patent 6,179,790 (hereinafter referred to as Cundari).

Regarding **claim 11**, the method of Cimmino does not disclose the method of sliding the convexo concave amplifying device during measurement of a surface. Cundari discloses a tissue examination device for detecting imperfections such as tumors below the surface of skin. While Cundari does not detect surface deformations as the claimed invention, it does teach the sliding of the sensor system to detect imperfections over a larger area. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teachings of Cundari in combination with those of Cimmino to detect surface imperfections over a larger area by sliding the apparatus across a surface of varying curvature.

Claims 4-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cimmino in view of Cruz-Hernandez US Patent 6,445,284 B1 (hereinafter referred to as Cruz-Hernandez).

Regarding **claim 4**, Cimmino does not disclose the "protrusions" as columns. Cruz-Hernandez discloses an electro-mechanical transducer for providing tactile display or serving as a tactile input sensor. Figures 3a-3c show embodiments in which a sensing area composed of actuators 52 and exhibiting members 50 which exhibit any changes in the sensing member. For example, the movement of actuator 52A is transmitted to the rods 50 to cause a change in the distance between them. Column 7 describes these exhibiting members 50 as vertical rods or tubes, reading on the "columns" as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2856

the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cimmino with those of Cruz-Hernandez to allow for an improved sensation which can more easily be detected with the skin.

Regarding **claim 5**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the vertical rods of a softer material such as rubber or foam to make them softer on the skin of a finger during sensing.

Regarding **claim 6**, Cruz-Hernandez shows an embodiment in figure 5D in which the "exhibiting members" are surrounded by a protective enclosure 80 to prevent breakage. As the enclosure is part of the exhibiting member, it acts as a "sheet having plural holes" in the direction of the thickness as claimed.

Regarding **claim 9**, Cruz-Hernandez describes the use of a computing device for generating signals based on the strain in the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to measure the strain of the system by connecting it to a strain gauge and using the signals generated as strain gauges were commonly used in the art to determine such forces.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK SHABMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3263. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 4:30pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hezron Williams/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2856

/M. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 2856